



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
04/08/2004	Hassan Asadi	4452-639	7627
90 01/24/2006		EXAM	INER
COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE		WILLIAMS, THOMAS J	
ENUE		ARTINIT	PAPER NUMBER
NY 10176		3683	
	04/08/2004 90 01/24/2006 VTANI, LIEBERMAN ENUE	04/08/2004 Hassan Asadi 190 01/24/2006 VTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE ENUE	04/08/2004 Hassan Asadi 4452-639 90 01/24/2006 EXAM VITANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE ENUE ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/820,372	ASADI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Thomas J. Williams	3683		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)□	•	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/820,372 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 30, 2005 has been entered.
- 2. Acknowledgment is made in the receipt of the remarks filed January 12, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,454,455 to Kundmuller et al. in view of US 5,862,893 to Volpel.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,372 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

Re-claim 1, Kundmuller et al. teach a piston cylinder assembly, comprising: a cylinder 3 with a working medium, the cylinder is fitted with a piston rod guide 11 having a radially inwardly extending projection 25 extending along a portion of a periphery of an inner wall of the cylinder; a piston rod 7 and a piston 9, the piston rod is designed to tilt under high heat conditions due to impact with the inward projection, see figure 5. Kundmuller et al. teach a disk mounted adjacent the piston. However, Kundmuller et al. fail to teach the disk functioning as a stop disc and having an outer diameter greater than the piston, thereby resting against the projection before the piston contacts the projection.

Volpel teaches a piston cylinder assembly having a stop disc 142 (this element is interpreted as functionally equivalent to a stop disc since it will impact an inward projection of the cylinder preventing continued outward movement of piston rod and piston assembly), an outer diameter of the disc is greater than an adjacent piston 144. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have replaced the disc provided with the piston cylinder assembly of Kundmuller et al. with a stop disc having an outer diameter greater than the adjacent piston as taught by Volpel, thus preventing the piston from impacting the inward projection, thereby eliminating potential damage to the piston during high thermal conditions.

Re-claim 2, the disc taught by Volpel is provided with non-throttling passages, 150.

Re-claim 3, the stop disc in Kundmuller et al. is a component of a piston valve.

6. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kundmuller et al. in view of Volpel as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of US 5,810,130 to McCandless.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,372 Page 4

Art Unit: 3683

Re-claims 4 and 5, Kundmuller et al. as modified by Volpel fail to teach an elastomeric tension stop positioned between the stop disk and the piston rod guide. McCandless teaches an elastomeric tension stop, or rubber bumper 37, positioned between the stop disc 39 and the rod guide. McCandless teaches that the bumper will lessen the full extension impact between the rod guide and piston assembly, see column 5 lines 59-61. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the assembly of Kundmuller et al. as modified by Volpel with an elastomeric tension stop as taught by McCandless, thus reducing impact force at full extension.

Response to Arguments

- 7. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed January 12, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As stated above, element 142 is interpreted as being functionally equivalent to a stop disc. This element is illustrated as having a diameter greater than the piston body 144. As such it is the opinion of the examiner that one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the capability of utilizing the disc of Volpel having a greater diameter than the piston in Kundmuller et al. as the stop disc. This combination would have prevented damage to the piston incurred upon impact with the inward projection during periods of excessive heating. The combined structure of Kundmuller et al. as modified by Volpel would substantially the same as figure 1 in

Application/Control Number: 10/820,372

Art Unit: 3683

the instant embodiment. Thus the arguments regarding the modification rendering Kundmuller

et al. inoperative are not persuasive.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Koch and Baker (GB '945) each teach a piston and cylinder assembly having a stop

disc adjacent the piston.

10. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thomas Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-7128.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The

examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James McClellan, can be reached at 571-272-6786. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-6584.

THOMAS J. WILLIAMS PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5

TJW

January 18, 2006

Thomas Wother

1-19.00